
From: Miyamoto, Faith
To: 'Raymond.Sukys@dot.gov'
CC: Ted.Matley@dot.gov; James.Barr@dot.gov
BCC: 'Spurgeon, Lawrence'; Hogan, Steven; Van Epps, James; Judy Aranda; Souki, Jesse K.
Sent: 9/15/2009 9:35:02 AM
Subject: Honolulu Rail Project
Attachments: FTA Comments Incorporated in 02.pdf; FTA comments on AFEIS 7-31-09 Matrix.doc

Hi Ray, Ted and Jim –

Thank you for your comments. We are working on on-the-ground stipulations that would be included in the next draft PA. If it can be arranged, I would like to have a conference call on Thursday (September 17, 2009) at 8:00 a.m. (Hawaii), 11:00 a.m. (Pacific) and 2:00 p.m. (Eastern) to discuss the stipulations that would be included and also FTA comments on the Administrative Final EIS. I have attached a copy of the FTA comment/RTD response matrix that we would like to discuss. We have addressed all of the comments that we received from the cooperating agencies, except from the Navy. Their letter is stuck in the Captain's tray, but the advance information that we have received has been that the comments are not significant. We anticipate that we will get the letter on Monday when the Captain returns.

Please let me know if we can talk on Thursday. If not, please suggest a better time.

Thanks.

Faith

From: Raymond.Sukys@dot.gov [mailto:Raymond.Sukys@dot.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2009 8:25 AM
To: Miyamoto, Faith
Cc: Ted.Matley@dot.gov; James.Barr@dot.gov
Subject: RE: Stipulation

Also, as I thought you were making some in-roads on gaining some trust, whatever sense of gain immediately disappeared over insisting on Thursday rather than Monday. HTS really needs more sensitivity and avoid communicating that this is really only about schedule.

I agree with Jim that HTS really needs to really step it up and get over the idea that everyone else is to blame.

From: Barr, James (FTA)
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2009 11:01 AM
To: 'fmiyamoto@honolulu.gov'
Cc: Matley, Ted (FTA); Sukys, Raymond (FTA)
Subject: RE: Stipulation

By the way, Faith,

At the last meeting there was discussion about how FTA might support corridor-based preservation measures as mitigation. I believe that HTS responded that that they couldn't give money directly to individuals or organizations – something to that effect. That may well be the case, but I would recommend coming to the next meeting with some creative, 'on-the-ground' solutions to the concerns of the participants.

Thanks;
Jim

From: Barr, James (FTA)
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2009 1:43 PM
To: 'fmiyamoto@honolulu.gov'
Cc: Matley, Ted (FTA); Sukys, Raymond (FTA)

Subject: Stipulation

Faith:

Please include the stipulation below in the next Draft.

Are meeting minutes available? Several people have asked.

Thanks;

Jim

Coordination with Other Federal Reviews

If another federal agency may provide funding, permits, or other assistance to an FTA undertaking, that agency may use the MOA or PA that concluded FTA's Section 106 review if the undertaking itself remains unchanged and effects to historic properties remain the same. The following language allows for this coordination to be formalized in writing.

I. Coordination with Other Federal Reviews

In the event that the City of Honolulu or other agency applies for additional federal funding or approvals for the Honolulu High-Capacity Transit Corridor Project and the undertaking remains unchanged, such funding or approving agency may comply with Section 106 by agreeing in writing to the terms of this MOA and notifying and consulting with SHPD and ACHP. Any necessary modifications will be considered in accordance with Stipulation I. – XXXX.

Jim Barr
FTA Office of Planning and Environment
East 45-130
1200 New Jersey Avenue SE
Washington, DC 20590
james.barr@dot.gov
T. 202-493-2633
F. 202-493-2470